

Dated: August 21, 2003

Subject: Addendum No. 1 to Request for Proposal (RFP) No. JSL-71703 dated August 15, 2003 for Technology Development of Mars Landing Radar Antenna Array

The following inquiries have been submitted to JPL for clarification.

**Question 1**

We have reviewed the subject RFP and have a basic question concerning JPL's evaluation of Volume 3, Past Performance that is due on 1 September and the impact of that evaluation on JPL's consideration of the remainder of the proposal due on 12 September. Fundamentally, will JPL use Volume 3 as a go/no-go gate for contractor qualification for further consideration under this procurement?

**Answer 1**

Volume 3, Past Performance, will not be used as a "go/no-go gate for contractor qualification for further consideration under this procurement."

Volume 3, Past Performance, will be used to determine the proposer's responsibility as specified in paragraph 6.1.3 of the RFP.

**Question 2**

What is the difference between Volume 3, Past Performance, and Criterion M-2, Relevant Flight-Qualified Experience?

**Answer 2**

See Answer 1 for information on Volume 3, Past Performance.

Criterion M-2, Relevant Flight-Qualified Experience, of the RFP will be scored and is worth 300 maximum points.

As stated in Criterion M-2, "This criterion is based on the *degree to which* the proposer's related experience is directly applicable and will contribute towards manufacturing flight-qualified antenna array that will meet the requirements of the Specimen Contract."

Any related experience, including non antenna array experience, that meets Criterion M-2 will be evaluated by JPL.

### **Question 3**

Am I correct to assume that the RFQ is only for the element array? That is there are three distinct parts to this antenna, the array, the feed network, and the T/R modules and JPL will be responsible for the T/R module as well as the feed network. Please confirm.

### **Answer 3**

This RFP is only for the 128 element array subsystem. The T/R module and the feed network may be developed by JPL or be developed by a subcontractor.

### **JPL Correction**

Volume 3 – Past Performance Instructions, paragraph (2), page 11 of the RFP, is revised as follows:

- (2) Provide a synopsis of all contracts similar to this work effort performed by your organization within the past ten (10) years, including similar on-going efforts. List all work performed for JPL in the past ten (10) years.